UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/555,041	10/27/2005	Kiyoshi Yamaguchi	2271/75406	2755
23432 7590 02/25/2008 COOPER & DUNHAM, LLP			EXAMINER	
1185 AVENUE	OF THE AMERICAS		AL HASHIMI, SARAH	
NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	10/555,041	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SARAH AL HASHIMI	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No	ovember 2007.				
•	action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 11-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,11-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application			
- apar 1.5(s)an bato					

Application/Control Number: 10/555,041 Page 2

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1,11,12 in the reply filed on 11/21/2007 is acknowledged.

- 2. Claim 13 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/21/2007.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1,11,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seto (US 2001/0055051) in view of Takeuchi (US 6,351,056).

 Seto teaches:

Claim 1: a nozzle configured to discharge a liquid drop by using a piezoelectric element; wherein the piezoelectric element is a stacked layer type piezoelectric element formed by reciprocally stacking a piezoelectric layer and an inside electrode layer (fig 1).

Claim 11: a liquid drop discharge head configured to discharge a liquid drop;

Page 3

wherein the liquid drop discharge head includes a nozzle configured to discharge the liquid drop by using a piezoelectric element, the piezoelectric element is a stacked layer type piezoelectric element formed by reciprocally stacking a piezoelectric layer and an inside electrode layer(fig 1).

Claim 12: a liquid drop discharge head configured to discharge the liquid drop; wherein the liquid drop discharge head includes a nozzle configured to discharge the liquid drop by using a piezoelectric element, and the piezoelectric element is a stacked layer type piezoelectric element formed by reciprocally stacking a piezoelectric layer and an inside electrode layer (fig 1).

Seto does not teach but Takeuchi teaches:

Claim 1: the piezoelectric layer is formed by a piezoelectric material not including lead but having bismuth sodium titanate, as main ingredients, the piezoelectric material having a sintering temperature equal to or less than 1200°C (col 25 line 24-30 "Specific piezoelectric/electrostrictive materials arebarium titanate, sodium bismuth titanate, ... and the like, and they may be respectively used individually and col 33 lines 55-8 "a piezoelectric/electrostrictive element can be formed in a method where a ceramic green laminated body 108 is sintered at a predetermined conditions, preferably at a temperature of 1200..degree").

Art Unit: 2853

Claim 11: the piezoelectric layer is formed by a piezoelectric material not including lead but having bismuth sodium titanate, as main ingredients, the piezoelectric material having a sintering temperature equal to or less than 1200°C (col 25 line 24-30 "Specific piezoelectric/electrostrictive materials arebarium titanate, sodium bismuth titanate, ... and the like, and they may be respectively used individually" and col 33 lines 55-8 "a piezoelectric/electrostrictive element can be formed in a method where a ceramic green laminated body 108 is sintered at a predetermined conditions, preferably at a temperature of 1200..degree").

Claim 12: the piezoelectric layer is formed by a piezoelectric material not including lead but having bismuth sodium titanate as main ingredients, the piezoelectric material having a sintering temperature equal to or less than 1200 °C (col 25 line 24-30 "Specific piezoelectric/electrostrictive materials arebarium titanate, sodium bismuth titanate, ... and the like, and they may be respectively used individually" and col 33 lines 55-8 "a piezoelectric/electrostrictive element can be formed in a method where a ceramic green laminated body 108 is sintered at a predetermined conditions, preferably at a temperature of 1200..degree").

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Seto to incorporate the piezoelectric layer is formed by a piezoelectric material not including lead but having bismuth sodium titanate, as main ingredients, the piezoelectric material having a sintering temperature equal to or less than 1200°C as taught by Takeuchi because of the quality of such a material and its ability to withstand temperatures of 1200°C.

Application/Control Number: 10/555,041 Page 5

Art Unit: 2853

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SARAH AL HASHIMI whose telephone number is (571)272-7159. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on 571 272 2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/555,041 Page 6

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SA/

/An H. Do/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2853